

From: Charles Klobe
To: [Oborny, Shirley](#)
Subject: Aviation Committee Meeting
Date: Friday, July 24, 2020 3:27:17 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good day,

I recommend that the committee recommend to the city council the following:

The City of Newport Beach supports the award of the FBO contracts to the firms with the highest technical score in each category.

The scores were arrived at by impartial jurors with knowledge of the airport. This takes emotion, campaign contributions and lobbying out of the decision process. The airport director will likely recommend the same thing to the Board of Supervisors. Last time the board ignored the technical score and awarded to ACI.

Thank you,
Charles Klobe

From: Victor Poma
To: [Oborny, Shirley](#)
Cc: [Christie Poma](#)
Subject: Aviation Committee Meeting - Monday, July 27, 5:30 p.m.
Date: Friday, July 24, 2020 11:10:08 AM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

We live on the peninsula point and the airplane noise is overwhelming loud. The noise is so loud it's a natural alarm clock at 7am. Around 10pm the noise is so loud with all the last minute takeoffs we can't even hear our family members talking when having a conversation. You have to pause the TV every time a flight goes overhead as you cannot hear anything. When will the planes be rerouted in which the peninsula point will not be effected in this way?

We know the intention for the pilots is to gain altitude as fast as possible and then throttle down until they get over the ocean. The problem is, they throttle back up before getting over the water so the loudest part is directly over our homes, right before getting out over the water. The engines roar back up directly on top of our houses. How can this be addressed so it isn't so incredibly loud? If the pilots waited 10-15 seconds more to go full throttle, this noise issue would be greatly mitigated. Where is the noise tracker on the end of the peninsula to log this noise pollution? Can you please provide the details on this as to what the regulations are and if they are being broken?

Also, we will hear random loud plane noise between 10pm and 7am which we thought was not permitted. What are the consequences of these flights if any?

How and where do we complain to make changes happen as it seems like nothing happens?

Thank you,

Victor Poma
(951) 818-4188
vpoma@pomafitness.com

From: mbeale.cmtc@gmail.com
To: [Oborny, Shirley](#)
Subject: Questions for Newport Beach Aviation Committee- July 27th session
Date: Friday, July 24, 2020 3:29:03 PM
Attachments: [Questions submitted to Newport Beach Aviation Committee- July 27 meeting.pdf](#)

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

See attached questions for the Aviation committee meeting on Monday July 27th.

Mel Beale
Newport Beach resident

Questions to the Newport Beach Aviation Committee

Re:

Agenda item

IV Current Business-

2. 1. and 2.2 (GAIP ad Hoc Review of Fixed Base Operator Proposals)

Q1. Given that the actual full RFP resubmittal proposal documents are not available to the general public, and, that the requirement for the June 1 County FBO bidder resubmittals was to ensure compliance with the environmental studies which did not include the amended RFP stipulation on the yellow and green areas at the southeast end of the property being dedicated to small aircraft of less than 40 ft. wingspan and 12,500#, what will be the City of Newport Beach's official actions be to make that a binding requirement for the winning FBO candidate and be defined in the 10 year lease agreement with the County of Orange?

Please be specific in your action and ensure that future Board of Supervisors, and or, FBO lessors cannot act in conflict with this principle agreement.

Q2. The **Ad Hoc Committee Evaluation of Fixed Base Operator Proposals key deliverable-** i.e., "Does the Proposal Comply With the City's Goals for the General Aviation Improvement Program?" matrix table, leaves critical space utilization questions unanswered.

Specifically, on the metrics titled *Maintain Current GA Balance*, and, *Build Max # Individual Hangars*. All respondent bidders were rated a Y for yes. Yet the June 1 buildouts and layouts in the materials posted on bidder responses do not appear to cover nearly the needs for hangars for much of the existing small aircraft population, due to the constraint that certain areas were not evaluated in the environmental studies.

➤ Does not the layouts in the latest proposals leave open areas which now might be developed at a later date to incorporate use by larger aircraft or overnight / transit aircraft per direction of future administrations? How can the City of Newport Beach work to ensure there is binding language in the master leases and in County authorizations which will prevent this potential shift in use of this space to the detriment of the community and small GA aircraft owners?

From: Jo Carol
To: [Oborny, Shirley](#)
Subject: Question for Aviation Comm Mtg on 7/27/2020
Date: Friday, July 24, 2020 3:39:48 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Couldn't our City use the technical scores of an unbiased panel?

Jo Carol Hunter



Virus-free. www.avg.com

From: Beverly Blais Moosmann
To: [Oborny, Shirley](#)
Subject: Questions Regarding GAIP
Date: Friday, July 24, 2020 3:40:09 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

1. The table entitled "Ad Hoc Committee Evaluation of FBO Proposals" includes two time-related columns, "GA Facility Hours Limited" and "FBO Hours Limited". The "N/A" placed in the "GA Facility Hours Limited" for Clay Lacy is ambiguous. In its response to the question regarding proposed hours of operation, Clay Lacy has stated the hours of operation will be 0500-2300.
2. It is also noted that applicants highlighted in green "align with city goals." Jet Aviation's hours are 24/7 via 3 shifts of line service technicians and two shifts of customer service representatives with further on-call support for operations after normal hours. The response then continues stating planned operations are between 0500 and 2400 hours, which seems ambiguous given the 24/7 staffing. The staffing provisions appear to support longer hours of operation, which is not consistent with city goals.
3. Has the Ad Hoc Committee considered the county's independent expert panel evaluation in its analysis? If not, why?

Thank you.

From: [Finnigan, Tara](#)
To: [Oborny, Shirley](#)
Subject: FW: AC GAIP Question for Monday's meeting
Date: Friday, July 24, 2020 4:31:30 PM

-----Original Message-----

From: Julie Johnson <juliestewartjohnson@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 24, 2020 3:40 PM
Cc: Julie Johnson <juliestewartjohnson@gmail.com>; Finnigan, Tara <TFinnigan@newportbeachca.gov>
Subject: AC GAIP Question for Monday's meeting

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,
Here is my question:

The RFPs had to be revised because they weren't in compliance with the original EIR. So now the yellow/green map cannot be built per the plan as it has more than 149 planes. How do you plan to enforce what was considered a "win" by the city if this yellow/green plan is outside the original EIR scope? How can it be secured? It would require a new EIR by the chosen FBO and there is no guarantee their plan will include the So Cal Pilots small planes in the future. Are you going to ask the BOS to require the FBO to put this in their 35 year lease? Otherwise what happens if new BOS members change their minds and go with a different plan.